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How did this get started?

- Early conversations between Stanford University, DPLA, and DuraSpace
- Recognition that Hydra community and its components had both strong foundations and areas for growth
- Established need for service providers within the Hydra community
Hydra in a Box: The Grant

- Project partners: DPLA, Stanford University, DuraSpace
- 30 month National Leadership Grant from IMLS – largest IMLS grant thus far
- Focuses on fostering a national digital platform through community-based repository infrastructure
- Leverages and contributes to Hydra, both in code and community
Project Goals

● Development of turnkey, Hydra-based application that leverages and improves on core components.
● Development/integration of metadata aggregation & enrichment tools
● Connect components with DPLA hubs, current Hydra partners, and prospective Hydra adopters
● Work toward a hosted service
Partner Strengths

- Stanford University
  - Demonstrated technical & community leadership for Hydra and Fedora; organizational commitment to innovation

- DuraSpace
  - Trusted non-profit; demonstrated expertise with Fedora, hosted services, & larger ecosystem

- DPLA
  - Experience with metadata aggregation; network of hubs & partners; commitment to open access;
Shared Objectives

- Reduce friction within the repository and DPLA communities
- Develop a best-of-breed, extensible platform with flexible deployment, shared components
- Improve sustainability
- Establish best practices at the network level across implementers and users
- Demonstrate leadership and promote related initiatives and communities
What Hydra in a Box Isn’t

- A siloed project, isolated from the rest of the community
- A fork of core components
- All things to all potential adopters … at least in this phase
- A substitute for programmatic digital stewardship
Design Process

- Discovery
- Information Architecture
- Visual Design
Design Process

- Discovery Phase (Summer - Fall 2015)
  - Literature Review
  - Survey
  - Product and Service Analysis
  - Community Outreach
Literature Review

• Articles, surveys, reports focused on:
  ○ Repository comparisons
  ○ Migration experiences

• Trending toward open source adoption

• Underscores need for a broad-based, singular, sustainable solution
Survey

256 complete responses

311 repositories

Mostly small, US academic libraries

Over half of respondents represent either a public or private college or university library.
Expectations

● Low-barrier entry to Fedora
● Metadata: easy in, easy out
● Support for multiple content types
● Reduce need for managing multiple repositories
● Easier installation and customization than existing options
● Modular but integrated
● Scalability and migration paths
Survey Insights

● Satisfaction levels
  ○ Users of hosted services tend to be more satisfied than users of local deployments

● Strengths and weaknesses of existing repository options

● System migration
  ○ 53% plan to migrate
    ■ Most to a Fedora-based solution
    ■ Rest are “not sure” what’s next
"We are excited... We rolled our own Fedora/Solr based digital collections platform a couple years ago, and we've been quite happy with it. But quite precisely because things have been going well, and we started getting more uptake on it..., we saw the writing on the wall and realized [our] small team... would not be able to maintain a system - at the level of quality we'd like - by coding everything from scratch everytime..."
Interviews & Focus Groups

- Aiming for broad coverage
- Leveraging conferences
  - Hydra Connect :)  
  - Minnesota Digital Library 
  - Digital Library Forum 
  - Mid-Atlantic Fedora Users Group Meeting 
  - Code4Lib
Design Process

• Information Architecture (Winter)
  ○ User requirements and personas
  ○ Requirements - functional & technical
  ○ Models
  ○ Wireframes

• Visual Design (Early spring)
Technical Exploration

- Starting technical work in parallel with user-centered design process
- Working toward a prototype based on existing gems, Fedora 4 and PCDM
- Will run a gap analysis against user requirements
Hydra-in-a-Box Design Process: Tasks & Timeline

Updated 2015-09-18

**Discovery**
- Phase 1
  - Stakeholder goals
  - Community & landscape surveys
- Phase 2
  - Interviews & focus groups

**Information Architecture**
- Phase 1
  - User personas
  - Requirements prioritization
- Phase 2
  - Conceptual sitemaps
  - Wireframes

**Visual Design**
- Phase 1
  - Visual design mockups
  - Visual design style guide

**Infrastructure & Technical Exploration**
- Phase 1
  - Community engagement
  - Collaborative development on core gems
- Phase 2
  - Technical prototyping
- Phase 3
  - Gap analysis
  - Community feedback

**Development**
- Phase 1
  - Formal development & user testing

**Timeline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discovery: Phase 1</th>
<th>Discovery: Phase 2</th>
<th>Info Arch: Phase 1</th>
<th>Info Arch: Phase 2</th>
<th>Tech Exploration: Phase 1</th>
<th>Tech Exploration: Phase 2</th>
<th>Tech Exploration: Phase 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2015 | 2016
Community Engagement

Submit your ideas on GitHub

projecthydra-labs / hybox-ideas

Hydra in a Box Ideas

This repository is being used to gather community input for the Hydra in a Box, a partnership between the Digital Public Library of America, Stanford University, and DuraSpace. Issues that are added to this repository are intended to give the project team feedback on potential requirements for the project, and are subject to prioritization along other sources of requirements.

We are working on providing options for contributions that come from individuals without GitHub accounts as well.

For more information on the Hydra in a Box project, please join our Google Group.
Community Engagement

Visit our website and blog
to be launched in early October
Community Engagement

Public info
hybox-info@googlegroups.com

News and announcements
hydra-community@googlegroups.com

Technical discussions
hydra-tech@googlegroups.com

Contact us
hybox-contact@googlegroups.com
Thank you!

Mark Matienzo  
Project Manager  
mark@dp.la  
@anarchivist

Hannah Frost  
Product Manager  
hfrost@stanford.edu  
@feefifofannah