

# Implementing the IIIF Content Search API at Stanford

Mark A. Matienzo, Stanford University Libraries @anarchivist / https://matienzo.org/presentations 2018 IIIF Conference, 24 May 2018

### Project context: Virtual Tribunals

- Collaborative initiative between Stanford Libraries and WSD Handa Center for Human Rights and International Justice
- Technical work part of a larger grant project to provide access to documents from Special Panels for Serious Crimes in East Timor
- Situated in how Digital Library Systems and Services and Stanford Libraries approaches projects and infrastructure

#### Scope of work

- Provide "search within" a particular SDR object's pre-existing text (e.g. OCR or transcription), including phrase searching
- Support subset of OCR formats: ALTO, plain text
- Implement parts of Content Search API specifications needed to support use case



### content\_search: the application

- <u>https://github.com/sul-dlss/content\_search</u>
- Dependencies: Ruby, Rails, Solr, Redis
- Tightly integrated with SDR infrastructure

### Indexing process

- Identify new/changed objects (or object for one-off indexing)
- Fetch object structure
- Identify applicable files (e.g. OCR/transcription)
- Read files, transform content, and index to Solr

### Text transformation for indexing

• Text and word boundaries extracted from source file

- Each **alto:TextBlock** treated as single value in a multivalued field
- Each **alto:TextLine** delimited by **\n** in each field
- Concatenate each word with its boundaries using a symbol to generate a Lucene payload:

{	
"id": "kx307gd4524/kx30	<pre>Product Section 2001_17- Provide Strain Section 2001_17-</pre>
2001_Cipriano_da_Costa_Decision	<pre>u_Withdrawal_0005.xml",</pre>
"druid": "kx307gd4524",	
"resource_id": "kx307gd	14524_6",
"filename": "EastTimor_	_CE-SPSC_Final_Decisions_2001_17-
2001_Cipriano_da_Costa_Decision	Withdrawal_0005.xml",
"ocrtext": [	
"UNITED-536.00,188.00	),232.29,44.00 NATIONS <mark>7</mark> 807.00,188.00,271.00,44.00",
"NATIONS 1412.00,186.	.00,264.92,46.00 UNIES 1714.77,186.00,189.23,46.00",
"TRIBUNAL 593.00,312.	.00,346.21,42.20 DISTRITAL 982.48,312.00,389.48,42.20 DE
1415.24,312.00,86.55,42.20 DIL1	1545.07,312.00,173.10,42.20\nPENGADILAN
852.66,396.40,432.76,42.20 DIST	RIK <mark>9</mark> 1328.69,396.40,302.93,42.20 DILI
1674.90,396.40,173.10,42.20\nDI	ISTRICT 895.93,480.80,346.21,42.20 COURT
1285.41,480.80,216.38,42.20 OF	1545.07,480.80,86.55,42.20 DILI 1674.90,480.80,173.10,42.20",
"SECÇÃ0 <mark>-691.00,610.00</mark>	),512.30,73.00 CRIMES 21242.70,610.00,236.44,73.00 GRAVES
1518.56,610.00,236.44,73.00",	
"SERIOUS"937.00,702.0	0,286.00,47.00 CRIMES <mark>9</mark> 1263.86,702.00,245.14,47.00",
"A=322.00,921.00,24.7	70,51.33 retirada <mark>9</mark> 371.40,921.00,197.59,51.33 da <mark>9</mark>
593.68,921.00,49.40,51.33 acusa	açãor667.78,921.00,197.59,51.33 poder890.07,921.00,98.79,51.33
ocorrer 1013.56,921.00,172.89,5	51.33 através <mark>v</mark> 1211.15,921.00,172.89,51.33 de <mark>v</mark>
1408.74,921.00,49.40,51.33 um-1	1482.84,921.00,49.40,51.33 requerimentor
1556.93,921.00,296.38,51.33 pre	eliminar <mark>9</mark> 1878.01,921.00,246.99,51.33\ntal
322.00,1023.67,74.10,51.33 como	0 <mark>0</mark> 420.79,1023.67,98.79,51.33 resulta <mark>r</mark>
544.29,1023.67,172.89,51.33 do	741.88,1023.67,49.40,51.33 artigor815.97,1023.67,148.19,51.33
27° 988.86,1023.67,74.10,51.33	dor1087.66,1023.67,49.40,51.33 citador
1161.75,1023.67,148.19,51.33 Re	g. <mark>v</mark> 1334.64,1023.67,98.79,51.33 2000/30. <mark>v</mark>
1458.14,1023.67,197.59,51.33",	

### Metadata and access integration

- Manifests dynamically generated from our delivery systems
- Incorporation of content search services into manifests triggered by structural metadata
- Any changes to objects (addition of new OCR resources) will lead to transparent updates

### Example

- <u>homepage</u>
- <u>manifest</u>
  - <u>search</u> service
    - <u>autocomplete service</u>
  - **rendering**: <u>source PDF</u> and <u>searchable PDF</u> (not from content\_search)
  - **Canvas:** no text annotations or **seeAlso**s (yet)

#### Search API flow

- Search Solr for each word for terms or phrase and get the matching pages with hit highlighting
- Extract text preceding and following matches to return **before** and **after**
- Transform each hit highlight into an **Annotation** that is on a **Canvas** URI fragment identified by word boundaries
- Create the hits and response

#### Autocomplete API flow

- Search Solr (with <u>custom suggester</u>) for requested string
- Remove duplicate matches
- Sort by occurrence ("weight") and then by length
- Gather the top 5 results
- Build the response

# Strengths

- Both simple word and phrase matching
- Ability to provide surrounding text to put in context
- A potential good start for a more generic Content Search API implementation?

#### Limitations

- Tightly coupled to Stanford's infrastructure
- Can't effectively phrase search across pages/canvases (no multi-hit annotations)
- Only intended for searching OCR text
- No support for **motivation**, **date**, and **user** queries
- No persistent or dereferenceable annotations, even for text resources

# Challenges

- Identifying things beyond scope of the specification that are supported (e.g. phrase searching)
- Authentication for restricted text resources
- Client behavior
  - UV expects Content Search API 0.9 responses
  - Adding support for multiword autocomplete: <u>https://github.com/UniversalViewer/universalviewer/pull/552</u>
- User experience and import to viewer behavior

# Thank you!

#### Mark A. Matienzo

@anarchivist

matienzo.org/presentations 2018 IIIF Conference

2018 IIIF Conference

24 May 2018

#### Virtual Tribunals Team

- Cathy Aster
- Chris Beer
- Gary Geisler
- Darren Hardy
- Jessie Keck
- Kris Kasianovitz
- Mark Matienzo
- Jack Reed
- Penelope Van Tuyl
- Camille Villa
- Drew Winget