# The Care and Maintenance of Archival Innovation:

The Lighting the Way Working Meeting as incubator for a sociotechnical investigation of archival discovery & delivery



Mark A. Matienzo, Stanford University Libraries Society of American Archivists Research Forum – July 21, 2021

**#LTWForum / https://lightingtheway.stanford.edu/** 



This project was made possible in part by a grant from the U.S. Institute of Museum and Library Services (**LG-35-19-0012-19**). The IMLS is the primary source of federal support for the nation's libraries and museums. To learn more, visit <a href="https://www.imls.gov">www.imls.gov</a>.

#### Problem statement

- We need to identify flexible models for inclusive, exploratory collaboration to inform future developments, building on the past work of the 2020 Lighting the Way Forum.
- Archives workers still find collaboration challenging when looking to improve archival discovery and delivery, both within and across institutions (see Matienzo et al. 2020)
- The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated this challenge, given cognitive overload and lack of time for strategic thinking and planning.

# Working Meeting overview

- Provide participant groups a supportive environment to explore topics related to archival discovery and delivery, culminating in a written contribution
- Application process intended for groups and individuals and included request for 250-word abstract
  - Received 24 group applications (100 individual participants)
  - Accepted 9 groups (51 participants; 2 groups merged)

# Working Meeting design

- Organized as four two-hour sessions on Zoom across six weeks (first and last plenary; others group-focused)
  - o Additional tools: Google Docs, Google Jamboard, PollEverywhere
  - Some groups organized optional additional working sessions
- Groups had assigned facilitators to support their work throughout the sessions
- Intended to build upon success of using *Liberating*Structures methods (Lipmanowicz and McCandless 2014)
  for 2020 Forum's facilitated activities

# Strategy Knotworking

- Model developed by McCandless and Schartau (2018) based on *Liberating* Structures
- Iterative progression through six strategic planning questions as collective activity

## 2 context what is happening

what is happening around us that demands creative change?

#### 3 challenge

what paradoxical challenges must we face to make progress?

#### 1 purpose

what is the fundamental justification for the existence of our work?

### 5 ambition given our purpose, what seems possible now?

#### 6 action & evaluation

how are we acting our way toward the future, evaluating what is possible as we go?



where are we starting, honestly?



### **Activities**

### **Session 1: Purpose** (Plenary)

- Spiral Journal (individual)
- Impromptu Networking (random groups)
- Purpose to Practice\* (topic groups)
- 4Ls retrospective (asynchronous)

### **Session 2: Baseline / Ambition** (Topic Group)

- What, So What, Now What?
- Impact/Effort Matrix
- (optional) Generative Relationships STAR
- Collaborative writing/discussion time
- 4Ls retrospective (usually asynchronous)

### Session 2: Context / Challenge (Topic Group)

- Context Map
- TRIZ
- 4Ls retrospective (usually asynchronous)

### **Session 4: Action and Evaluation** (Plenary)

- 4x4 Writing (individual)
- Conversation Café (random groups)
- 4Ls retrospective (synchronous/plenary; gathered through PollEverywhere)

<sup>\*</sup> Adapted/shortened version

### **Evaluation**

- Participants and facilitators sent feedback survey (response rate ≅ 58.33%)
- Closed questions: Net Promoter Score and other quantitative satisfaction metrics; capacity building; how they found out about the project
- Qualitative questions on what they liked most/least; their perceived most valuable outcome of the project; what they learned

#### Results

- Overall use of facilitated methods were successful
  - Some lack of familiarity/skepticism with Liberating Structures or Strategy Knotworking; purpose is occasionally unclear
  - Some desired more unstructured time for discussions
- Most concern was around deadlines for writing and pace of sessions
- Getting early insights into work in progress was valuable

# Important themes from survey

- Providing a human- and care-centered approach for collaboration that acknowledges archival labor was profoundly valued
- Technical challenges in archives are now better understood as cultural and social challenges
- Providing time, space, and structure is critical for archives workers to face strategic challenges together
- Identifying additional spaces and venues for continued or new collaboration is vital

### Discussion

- Feedback suggests a strategic thinking or long-term vision gap for archival discovery and delivery (cf. Blumenthal 2020)
- Sociotechnical contexts of archives as workplaces may be overlooked despite research on recordkeeping as/in sociotechnical systems (e.g. Hedstrom 1991; Summers 2020; Gunn 2020)
- Future design and strategic planning work requires a deeper understanding of affective responsibilities of archives workers (Caswell and Cifor 2016) informed by other research in feminist HCI and STS (e.g. Bardzell 2010; Wagman and Parks 2021)

### Future work and research

- Publications to come
  - Project handbook of written contributions from Working Meeting participants
  - Synthesis of Working Meeting and project activities as final report
- Feedback on sustaining broader spaces for collaboration
- Opportunities to use and expand upon facilitation methods

### Thank You!



#### References

Bardzell, Shaowen. "Feminist HCI: Taking Stock and Outlining an Agenda for Design." In CHI 2010: HCI For All. ACM, 2010.

Blumenthal, Karl, Peggy Griesinger, Julia Y. Kim, Shira Peltzman, and Vicky Steeves. "What's Wrong with Digital Stewardship: Evaluating the Organization of Digital Preservation Programs from Practitioners' Perspectives." Journal of Contemporary Archival Studies 7, 2020.

Caswell, Michelle, and Marika Cifor. "From Human Rights to Feminist Ethics: Radical Empathy in Archives." Archivaria 81, 2016.

Gunn, Chelsea. "Unruly Records: Personal Archives, Sociotechnical Infrastructure, and Archival Practice." University of Pittsburgh, 2020.

Hedstrom, Margaret. "Understanding Electronic Incunabula: A Framework for Research on Electronic Records." American Archivist 54, no. 3 (1991): 334-54.

Lighting the Way Working Meeting Playbook [prepublication draft]. 2021. https://lightingtheway.gdes.app/

Lipmanowicz, Henri & Keith McCandless, The Surprising Power of Liberating Structures. Liberating Structures Press, 2014.

Matienzo, Mark A., Dinah Handel, Josh Schneider, and Camille Villa. (2020). "Lighting the Way: A Preliminary Report on the National Forum on Archival Discovery and Delivery." Stanford University Libraries. <a href="https://purl.stanford.edu/rt078dm2196">https://purl.stanford.edu/rt078dm2196</a>

McCandless, Keith, and Johannes Schartau. "Liberating Strategy: Surprise and Serendipity Put to Work," 2018.

Summers, Ed. "Legibility Machines: Archival Appraisal and the Genealogies of Use." University of Maryland, 2020.

Wagman, Kelly B., and Lisa Parks. "Beyond the Command: Feminist STS Research and Critical Issues for the Design of Social Machines." In CSCW '21 (preprint), 2021. http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.00464

#### Acknowledgements

- Additional Working Meeting Facilitators: Hillel Arnold, Max Eckard, Dinah Handel, Wendy Hagenmaier, Julie Hardesty, Linda Hocking, Greg Wiedeman, Audra Yun
- Additional Participant Advisors: Amelia Abreu, Elvia Arroyo-Ramírez, Dorothy Berry, Amanda Ferrara, Geoff Froh, Sandra Phoenix
- Working Meeting Participants: <a href="https://library.stanford.edu/blogs/stanford-libraries-blog/2021/04/launching-lighting-way-working-meeting">https://library.stanford.edu/blogs/stanford-libraries-blog/2021/04/launching-lighting-way-working-meeting</a>